

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/772,382	02/06/2004	Larus Gunnsteinsson	GUNN3001/JEK/JJC	GUNN3001/JEK/JJC 5746	
23364	7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC		KAVANAUGH, JOHN T			
625 SLATER FOURTH FL	-	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IA, VA 22314		3728		

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
(/	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,382	GUNNSTEINSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ted Kavanaugh	3728	

•	LAGIIIIII	Art offic	l				
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expiresnormals from the maining by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the fee. The appropring the final Office is the final Office in the final Office is the final Office in the final Office is the feet appropring the feet approp	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / unonamone	, , , o c o c , , .				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	tt does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	111/						
		Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 3728

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the the liner of Reaux does not have a non-porous surface. Applicant further agrees that there are many materials that are water repellant but are "vapor permeable". In response, applicant is not claiming the surface is "vapor impermeable" or has a surface that doesn't permit the passage of vapor. Applicant just claims a "non-porous surface" and since the liner of Reaux doesn't permit the penetration of water, see the last sentence in paragraph #16 than it is a non-porous surface. Applicant has reviewed the remaining arguments and there appears to be no errors in the final rejection.